

Applicant(s)

Srih-Belguith et al.

Examiner

Interview Summary

Elizabeth Slobodyansky

09/383,318

Group Art Unit 1652



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Elizabeth Slobodyansky	(3)
(2) Jason Garbell (attorney)	(4)
Date of Interview Sep 24, 2001	
Type:   Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  ar	pplicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes X No	. If yes, brief description:
Agreement   was reached.  was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed:	•
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  The examiner left a message to Mr. Garbell inviting him to call to discuss the allowable subject matter.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
claims are now allowable, this completed form is cons	t may be present in the last Office action, and since the
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	